

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/630,024	07/31/2000	Kevin L. Farley	TAN-2-1502.01.US	4041	
24374 VOLPE AND	7590 06/11/201 KOENIG. P.C.	EXAMINER			
DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			CHAN, RICHARD		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/11/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	09/630,024	FARLEY ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
TREVIEW.	RICHARD CHAN	2618	

	-				
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review	w filed .			
 Improper Request – The Regresson(s): 	lequest is improper and	a conference	will not be held for the following		
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not incl ☐ A proposed amendment i ☐ Other:	ude reasons why a revie	w is appropria	ite.		
The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office con	se continues to run from mmunication, if no Notice	n the receipt da e of Appeal ha	ate of the Notice of Appeal or from s been received.		
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because then rief in accordance with 3 n from mailing this decisi tice of appeal, whicheve 7 CFR 1.136 based upo	e is at least on 7 CFR 41.37. on, or the bala r is greater. Fi	e-Appeal Brief conference has been e actual issue for appeal. Applicant The time period for filing an appeal ance of the two-month time period urther, the time period for filing of the e of this decision or the receipt date		
⊠ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 44-72. Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		n(s) is as follow	vs:		
Allowable application – A Allowance will be mailed. Prosect applicant at this time.	conference has been he ution on the merits rema	ld. The rejecti ins closed. No	on is withdrawn and a Notice of of further action is required by		
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A caction will be mailed. No further a	onference has been hel action is required by app	d. The rejectio licant at this ti	n is withdrawn and a new Office me.		
All participants:					
(1) <u>RICHARD CHAN</u> .	ARD CHAN. (3) <u>DUC NGUYEN</u> .				
(2) <u>NAY MAUNG</u> .	(4	1)			
/RICHARD CHAN/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Exa Unit 2618	ıminer, Art	/Duc Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618		

Part of Paper No. 20100604